# Variable Speed Control for Rotor Field Oriented Control of a Doubly Fed Induction Machines

Lekhchine Salima<sup>#1</sup>, Bahi Tahar<sup>\*1</sup>, Bouzeria Hamza<sup>#2</sup>

<sup>#1</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering, University Badji Mokhtar Box 12, Annaba 23000, Algeria <sup>1</sup> slekhchine@yahoo.fr <sup>3</sup>tbahi@hotmail.fr <sup>#2</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering, University Hadj Lakhdar Batna 05000, Algeria <sup>2</sup> bhamza23000@gmail.com

Abstract - In this paper a speed control of doubly fed induction motor is studied. The aims of this paper are the modeling and analyzed the proportional integral and artificial neural network controllers under the load variation of operating in four quadrants of doubly fed induction motor. A computer simulation is developed to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed intelligent speed controller and the simulation results are presented for different operating points in order the tested the performance control of the system. The simulation results shows that the control by artificial neural network provides better performances and robustness independently of load disturbances.

Index Terms - Variable speed, doubly fed induction machine, vector control, feedback state control, neural network.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In the industrial applications, there are several reasons for using variable speed operating of motor [1, 2]. Indeed, the theory of vector control [3] has long since been applied successfully, to three- phase induction machine. However, the control performance depends by the variation of motor parameters [4, 5]. Today, in the area of the control of the electric machines, the research works are oriented more and more towards the application of the modern control techniques. These techniques involve in a vertiginous way with the evolution of the computers and power electronics and the interest of using high power applications in the energy renewable industry. This has favoured the use of doubly fed induction machine (DFIM) to be the perfect competitor to other induction machines (IM). Despite the several methods of control are used to control the IM among which the field orientation control (FOC) that allows a decoupling between the torque and the flux [4]. In this paper, the decoupling by feedback state control is used [6].

Recently, artificial neutral network (ANN) has become a popular research topic and has been successfully used for a few numbers of nonlinear and complex processes [7-10]. The ANN is insensible to parameter variations contrary to conventional controllers.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, modelling of de machine and decoupling method are given. Sections 3 present the principle and structure of ANN control. The simulation results are presented and discussion in section 4. Finally, conclusion is presented in section 5.

# **II. FIELD ORIENTED CONTROL**

The DIFM equations are described as follow [6, 11]:

$$\begin{cases} \vdots \\ x = Ax + Bu \\ y = Cx \end{cases}$$
(1)

With,  $x = \begin{bmatrix} i_{ds} & i_{qs} & i_{dr} & i_{qr} \end{bmatrix}^t$  is the state vector and  $u = \begin{bmatrix} V_{ds} & V_{qs} & V_{dr} & V_{qr} \end{bmatrix} t$  is input vector.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-R_{s}}{\sigma L_{s}} & \frac{(1-\sigma)\omega+\omega_{s}}{\sigma} & \frac{R_{r}L_{m}}{\sigma L_{s}L_{r}} & \frac{L_{m}\omega}{\sigma L_{s}} \\ \frac{(1-\sigma)\omega+\omega_{s}}{\sigma} & \frac{-R_{s}}{\sigma L_{s}} & \frac{L_{m}\omega}{\sigma L_{s}L_{r}} & \frac{R_{r}L_{m}}{\sigma L_{s}L_{r}} \\ \frac{R_{s}L_{m}}{\sigma L_{s}L_{r}} & \frac{-L_{m}\omega}{\sigma L_{r}} & \frac{-R_{s}}{\sigma L_{s}} & \frac{\sigma\omega_{s}-\omega}{\sigma} \\ \frac{L_{m}\omega}{\sigma L_{r}} & \frac{R_{s}L_{m}}{\sigma L_{s}L_{r}} & \frac{\omega-\sigma\omega_{s}}{\sigma} & \frac{-R_{s}}{\sigma L_{s}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma L_s} & 0 & \frac{-L_m}{\sigma L_s L_r} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sigma L_s} & 0 & \frac{-L_m}{\sigma L_s L_r} \\ \frac{-L_m}{\sigma L_s L_r} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sigma L_r} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{-L_m}{\sigma L_s L_r} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sigma L_r} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)  
$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

The dynamical equation and electromegnetical torque are given by "(5)," and "(5)," respectively:

$$J\frac{d\Omega}{dt} = T_{em} - T_l - k_f \Omega \tag{5}$$

$$T_{em} = p L_m (i_{qs} . i_{dr} - i_{ds} . i_{qr}) \tag{6}$$

The aim of the vector control is to ensure control decoupled of the flux and torque [3]. In the synchronous reference frame whose axis d is aligned with the rotor flux vector ( $\Phi_{dr} = \Phi^*$  and  $\Phi_{qr} = 0$ ) [6, 12]. Fig. 1 shows the vector representation of vector control.



Fig. 1. Orientation rotor flux

ſ

The principle of the decoupling by feedback state control is defined by [6, 13]. Let the multi variable system:

$$\begin{cases} x = Ax + Bu \\ y = Cx \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} x \in \Re^n \quad x = [x_1 \ x_2 \ \dots \ x_n]^t \\ u \in \Re^m \quad u = [u_1 \ u_2 \ \dots \ u_m]^t \\ y \in \Re^m \quad y = [y_1 \ y_2 \ \dots \ y_m] t \end{cases}$$
(7)

The loopback by feedback state control desired state feedback is of the following form:

$$u = -K_d x + L_d v \qquad \qquad v \in \mathfrak{R}^m \tag{8}$$

Where v is the new input vector of the system. This command law should be such that the input  $v_i$  acts only on the output  $y_i$  (*i*=1 to m). The output  $y_i$  is written:

$$y_i = C_i x \tag{9}$$

Where  $C_i$  is the *i*<sup>th</sup> row of the matrix C. Must derives  $y_i$  until the command appears. We called characteristic index noted  $\sigma_i$ , the number of times that must derived to bring up the command. Where then successively for each output:

$$\dot{y}_{i} = C_{i} \dot{x} = C_{i} (Ax + Bu) = C_{i} Ax + C_{i} Bu$$
  

$$\vdots \\ \dot{y}_{i} = C_{i} A \dot{x} = C_{i} A^{2} x + C_{i} A Bu$$
  

$$y_{i}^{(3)} = C_{i} A^{2} \dot{x} = C_{i} A^{3} x + C_{i} A^{2} Bu$$
(10)

$$y_i^{(\sigma_i)} = C_i A^{\sigma_i} x + C_i A^{\sigma_i - 1} B u$$

with,

$$C_i Bu = 0$$
;  $C_i ABu = 0$ ;  $C_i A^2 Bu = 0$  and  $C_i A^{\sigma_i} Bu \neq 0$ .

This can still be written in matrix form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} y(\sigma_{1}) \\ y(\sigma_{2}) \\ \vdots \\ y(\sigma_{m}) \\ y^{*} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{1}A^{\sigma_{1}} \\ C_{2}A^{\sigma_{2}} \\ \vdots \\ C_{m}A^{\sigma_{m}} \\ A^{*} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{1}A^{\sigma_{1}-1}B \\ C_{2}A^{\sigma_{2}-2}B \\ \vdots \\ C_{m}A^{\sigma_{m}-1}B \\ B^{*} \end{bmatrix} u$$
(11)  
So,  $y^{*} = A^{*}x + B^{*}u$ (12)

With 
$$y^* \in \mathfrak{R}^m$$
,  $A^* \in \mathfrak{R}^{mxm}$ ,  $B^* \in \mathfrak{R}^{mxm}$   
 $u = K_d x + L_d v$  as  $y^* = v$   
 $y^* = A^* x + B^* (-K_d x + L_d v)$   
 $y^* = (A^* - B^* K_d) x + B^* L_d v$  (13)  
(13)

For 
$$\mathbf{y}^* = \mathbf{v}$$
;  $B^* L_d = I$  et  $A^* - B^* K_d = 0$   
 $K_d = \left(B^*\right)^{-1} A^*$  and  $L = \left(B^*\right)^{-1}$ 
(14)

Therefore,

$$y^* = v$$
 and  $Y_i(P) = \frac{1}{s\sigma_i + 1} V_i(P)$  (15)

In Fig. 2, the structural current control state feedback is presented:



Fig. 2. Current control by state feedback

For the doubly fed induction motor, we obtained:

$$\forall \boldsymbol{i} : \sigma_{\boldsymbol{i}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} L_d = B^{-1} \\ K_d = B^{-1} A \end{cases}$$
(16)

$$y^* = v$$
  $G(P) = \frac{Y_i(P)}{V_i(P)} = \frac{1}{P}$  (17)

$$L = K = \begin{bmatrix} k & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & k \end{bmatrix}$$
(18)

$$\Phi_{qr} = L_r i_{qr} + L_m (i_{qs} + i_{qr}) = 0 \tag{19}$$

$$i_{qr} = \frac{L_m i_{qs}}{L_r + L_m} \tag{20}$$

And,

$$\Phi_{dr} = \Phi_r^*, \qquad \frac{d\Phi_{dr}}{dt} = 0 \tag{21}$$

$$\omega_s - \omega_r = \frac{R_r i_{qs}}{(L_r + L_m)i_{ds}}$$
(22)

However, with using the torque equation can be expressed as:  $T_e = K_m \Phi_{dr} i_{qr}$  (23) Where  $K_m$  is torque constant. So, if the rotor flux is constant, the stator current  $i_{qs}$  can be control the torque and the rotor flux can be controlled with direct component of stator current.

The dynamical equation is given by:

$$\frac{J}{p}\frac{d}{dt}\omega_r = K\Phi_{dr}i_{qr} - T_l - \frac{k_f}{p}\omega_r$$
(24)

Thus, the transfer function will be expressed by the speed:

$$w_r(P) = \frac{K_m \Phi^{-i} qr(P)}{K_f + JP} - \frac{T_l(P)}{K_f + JP}$$
(25)



# Fig. 3. Speed loop

The proportional action (P) ensures the performance criteria required by speed loop. So an integral action (I) cancel the error in study state. The controller parameters are chosen by the imposed poles method, as [14]:

$$k_i = j2\rho^2$$
;  $k_p = 2jp - K_f$  (26)

Where  $\rho$  is the module of the real part.

## B. Artificial Neural Network

The control speed use a controller based on ANN (20 multiphase). The multi layer preceptor, the number of hidden layers and hidden neurons is not known a priori. Furthermore, there is no general rule for predicting the number of hidden Fig. 3 Scheme of neural fuzzy-speed control neurons necessary to achieve a specified performance of the model. One of the most popular programs is the back-propagation. So for the proposed application, an ANN with a single layer with activation function tansig type is used. In this step, the authors execute several tests and analyzing the performance of the system [20]. The neural network controller considered is shown in Fig. 4. Two neurons in input layer, 30 neurons in hidden layer and one neuron in output layer.



Fig. 4. Scheme of speed control using ANN

#### **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The proposed ANN controller for the DFIM drive is tested using a Matlab/Simulink. The scheme system shown in Fig. 5 consists of the following elements: three-phase doubly fed induction machine, a two three-phase voltage-source inverter. The parameters machines are shown in appendix.



Fig. 5. Scheme of control

In order to evaluate the performances of the proposed control scheme at different operating conditions. The simulated responses are shown in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7. The control system operated properly according to Fig. 5. The rotor speed has successfully tracked the command speed while the rotor flux is fixed at its reference value. Rotor speed, electromagnetic torque, rotor flux, and stator current are shown in Fig. 6(a,b and c), respectively. Moreover, the system is tested for low speed (see Fig. 7). It can be seen that the proposed controller gives regulated responses in terms of fast tracking, small overshoot, and zero steady-state errors. The rotor flux has successfully followed the rotor command flux, while the rotor speed increases linearly to its rated value. The Fig. 6(a) and Fig. 7(a) shows that the speed converges to +/-150 rad/s and +/-25 rad/s, and the electromagnetic torque is shown in Fig. 6(b) and Fig. 7(b). Also, the rotor flux value shown in Fig. 6(c) and Fig. 7(c) converges to its reference properly. By comparing the simulation test results, it can be stated that the intelligent control required performances for the DFIM drive. Another important advantage of the proposed intelligent controller is that it is relatively easy to tune the gain parameters of the controllers effectively and efficiently for high-performance DFIM drive systems.





05

Fig. 7. Simulation results for lower speed reference

# IV. CONCLUSION

This paper has presented a study to show improvement obtained by using ANN controller for the DFIM drive. The system is tested for low speed. The results shows the proposed controller gives regulated responses in terms of fast tracking, small overshoot, and zero steady-state errors and good control performance in terms of robustness and adaptability. Another important advantage of the proposed intelligent controller is that it is relatively easy to tune the gain parameters of the controllers effectively and efficiently for high-performance DFIM drive systems. The intelligent technique can be easily adopted for industrial applications

# Appendix A : Doubly fed Induction Motors Parameters

Rated power:	1.5 kW
Rated speed	1420rpm
Rated frequency	50Hz
Stator resistance	4.85Ω
Rotor resistance	3.805Ω
Stator inductance	0.274H
Rotor inductance	0.274H
Magnetizing inductance	0.258H
Number of poles	1
Rotor inertia	0.031Kg.m <sup>2</sup>
Friction Coefficient	0.001136N.m.s/rd

## Appendix B: Principal Symbols

 $V_{ds}$ ,  $V_{qs}$ : stator voltages d-q axis components  $i_{ds}$ ,  $i_{qs}$ : stator currents d-q axis components  $V_{dr}$ ,  $V_{qr}$ : rotor voltages d-q axis components  $i_{dr}$ ,  $i_{qr}$ : rotor voltages d-q axis components  $\Phi_{ds}$ ,  $\Phi_{qs}$ : stator flux d-q axis components  $\Phi_{dr}$ ,  $\Phi_{qr}$ : rotor flux d-q axis components  $\Phi^*$ : flux reference  $R_s$ : stator resistance  $R_r$ :rotor resistance  $L_s$ : stator inductance  $L_r$ :rotor inductance  $L_m$ : mutual inductance  $\omega_s$ : speed of the synchronous reference frame  $\omega_r$ :rotor electrical angular speed  $\omega_{sl}$  slip speed J: moment of inertia p: number of pole pairs  $\Omega$ : mechanical speed Tem: electromagnetic torque  $T_l$ : load torque

## REFERENCES

- D. Seyou, C. Granthan, "Terminal voltage control of a wind turbine driven isolated induction generator using stator oriented field control," *IEEE transaction on industrial application*. pp: 846-852, September 2003.
- [2] R. Hubert, "Variable speed asynchrone machine2, sensors, models, control and diagnostic," *Hermes, Lavoisier* 2006.
- [3] E. Blaschke, "The principle of field orientation as applied to the new transvector closed-loop control system for rotating field machine," *Siemens Review*, vol. 34, pp.217-220, 1972.
- [4] S. Sathiakumar, R. E. Betz, R. J. Evans, "Adaptive field-oriented control of an im using predictive control," *IEEE Trans. Aero. Elec. Syst*, vol. 26, no. 2, March 1990.
- [5] S. Lekhchine, T. Bahi, Y. Soufi, "Fuzzy logic control for rotor field oriented control of a dual star induction machines," *International Conference on Power Engineering, Energy and Electrical Drives.* 978-1-4673-6392-1/13/\$31.00 © *IEEE*, pp. 1723-1728, 2013.
- [6] Z. Boudjemaà, M. Bounadja, A. Yahdou, B. Belmadani, "Nonlinear feedback state control of doubly fed induction motor by PI-fuzzy controller," *Journal of Science and Technology –RST*. vol 1 no. 2, pp 1-13, January 2010.
- [7] M. Jabr. Hany, Student member IEEE, Dongyun Lu, Student member, IEEE, and C. Kar. Narayan, Senior member, IEEE, "Design and implementation of neuro-fuzzy vector control for wind-driven doublyfed induction generator," *IEEE transactions on sustainable energy*, vol. 2, no. 4, October 2011.
- [8] Teresa Orlowska-Kowalska, Senior member, IEEE, Mateusz Dybkowski and Krzysztof Szabat, "Adaptive sliding-mode neurofuzzy control of the two-mass induction motor drive without mechanical sensors," *IEEE transactions on industrial electronics*, vol. 57, no. 2, February 2010.
- [9] G. R. Arab Markadeh, Ehsan Daryabeigi, Caro Lucas and Azizur Rahman, M., Life Fellow, IEEE, "Speed and flux fontrol of induction motors using emotional intelligent controller," *IEEE transactions on industry applications*, vol. 47, no. 3, 2011.
- [10] Lusu Guo, Student Member, IEEE, and Leila Parsa, Senior Member, IEEE, "Model reference adaptive control of five-phase ipm motors based on neural network," *IEEE transactions on industrial electronics*, vol. 59, no. 3 march 2012.
- [11] D. Ben Attous, Y. Bekakra, "Speed control of a doubly fed induction motor using fuzzy logic technique," *International on Electrical Eng* and Informatics, vol. 2, no.3, pp. 179-189, 2010.
- [12] S. Lekhchine, T. Bahi,Y. Soufi, H. Merabet," Neural fuzzy speed control for six phase induction machines," *Proceedings Engineering & Technology (PET)*,vol.1, pp. 12-26, 2013.
- [13] A. Boulkrounea, M. Tadjineb, M. M'Saadc, M. Farzac, "Fuzzy adaptive controller for MIMO nonlinear systems with known and unknown control direction," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 161, pp.797-820, 2010.
- [14] F. Hamidia, A. Larabi, M.S. Boucherit, "Comparative study of the DTC speed controller based on artificial intelligence," *Computer Technology and Application*, pp.347-352, 2012.